

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/731,330	12/05/2000	Gerhard Siemens	00 P 9051 US	8772	
7590 06/10/2004		EXAMINER			
Siemens Corporation			LE, DANH C		
Intellectual Prop 186 Wood Aven	perty Department	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Iselin, NJ 08830			2683	5	
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1 4 11 11-1				
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/731,330		SIEMENS, GERHARD				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		DANH C LE		2683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) data period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, it reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 'CFR 1.136(a). In no event ation. ys, a reply within the statuto y period will apply and will e by statute, cause the applica	, however, may a reply be tin ry minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 19 March 2004.						
<i>'</i> —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 11-16 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3 and 10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 4-9 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) to the drawing(s) be correction is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	` '			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Infon	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-s mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date	/SB/08) 5	Paper No(s)/Mail Da) Notice of Informal P) Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/731,330

Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Makino (US 4,682,351) in view of Bertocci (US 6,029,071) and Conklin (US 6,665,534).

As to claim 1, Makino teaches the method to provide a hierarchical call control suitable for a cordless telephone system having a base station operable in a standard mode communicatively coupled to a plurality of mobile units, comprising:

receiving a call;

identifying a phone number associated with the call;

forwarding the call to a specific mobile unit based upon the priority (col.2, lines 34-54, col.4, lines 20-62)

Makino fails to teach a base station operates in the broadcast mode and identifying a priority level associated with the number. Bertocci teaches a base station operates in the broadcast mode (col.7, lines 9-25). Conklin teaches identifying a priority level associated with the number (col3, line 6-col.4, line 57). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Bertocci and Conklin into the system of Makino in order to

Application/Control Number: 09/731,330

Art Unit: 2683

process incoming calls as a function of this desired privacy and the priority of the incoming call.

As to claim 3, the combination of Makino, Bertocci and Conklin further teaches placing the plurality of mobile units in a receiving mode (Makino, col.1, lines 36-62).

As to claim 10, the combination of Makino, Bertocci and Conklin further teaches method as recited in claim 1, wherein said specific mobile unit is associated only with a particular mobile unit identifier (Makino, col.2, lines 34-54).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 2, 4-9 are objected in the previous Office Action.
- 4. Claims 11-16 are allowed in the previous Office Action.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- A. Bushnell (US 6,519,335) teaches the apparatus, method and system for personal telecommunication incoming call screening and alerting for call waiting application.
- B. Heinonen et al (US 6,671,370) teaches the method and apparatus enabling a calling telephone handset to choose ringing indication to be play and/or show at a receiving telephone handset.

Application/Control Number: 09/731,330

Art Unit: 2683

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANH C LE whose telephone number is 703-306-0542. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WILLIAM TROST can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Danh C.Le

Janh

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600